1	Paul D. Powell, Esq. (SBN 7488)		
2	Ryan T. O'Malley, Esq. (SBN 12461) Tom W. Stewart, Esq. (SBN 14280) THE POWELL LAW FIRM 8918 Spanish Ridge Avenue, Suite 100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89148		
3			
4			
5	paul@tplf.com romalley@tplf.com tom@tplf.com Phone 702.728.5500 Fax 702.728.5501 Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6			
7	United States District Court		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	CYNTHIA HOUSTON, individually,	G N 000 01505 G D D D W	
10	Plaintiff,	Case No.: 2:20-cv-01505-GMN-BNW	
11	VS.	STIPULATION TO EXTEND TIME TO OPPOSE	
12	GEICO CASUALTY COMPANY, a foreign	DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO COMPEL	
13	corporation; DOES I-X, inclusive; and ROE CORPORATIONS I-X, inclusive,	PLAINTIFF'S EXECUTED MEDICAL AUTHORIZATIONS (ECF No. 22)	
14		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
15	Defendants.	(FIRST REQUEST)	
16			
17	Cynthia Houston ("Plaintiff") and GEICO Casualty Company ("Defendant") stipulate to		
18	extend the time for Plaintiff to oppose Defendant's Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Executed Medical		
19	Authorizations, ECF No. 22. Tom W. Stewart has been the attorney principally involved in		
20	representing Plaintiff in this case. In the early morning hours of May 17, 2021, Mr. Stewart's wife		
21	gave birth to their first child, a healthy baby girl. This happy development has placed sudden and		
22	unexpected demands on Mr. Stewart's schedule, thereby occasioning this request for a brief		
23	extension to oppose Defendant's Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Executed Medical Authorizations		
24	Defendant has graciously stipulated to the extension.		
25			
26			
27			
I			

28

1		Houston v. GEICO Casualty Company Case No. 2:20-cv-01505-GMN-BNW
2	Therefore, the parties respectfully re	equest that the deadline for Plaintiff to oppose
3	Defendant's Motion to Compel Plaintiff's Executed Medical Authorizations be extended by two	
4		
5	weeks to June 1, 2021. The deadline for Defendants to file a reply in support of their Motion to	
6	Compel will be extended to June 8, 2021.	
7	D + 1.11 2.4th 1	D . 1.1. 24th 1
8	Dated this 24 th day of May, 2021.	Dated this 24 th day of May, 2021.
9	THE POWELL LAW FIRM	MCCORMICK, BARSTOW, SHEPPARD, WAYTE & CARRUTH LLP
10	By: /s/ Tom W. Stewart	By: /s/ Jonathan W. Carlson
11	Paul D. Powell, Esq. (SBN 7488)	Jonathan W. Carlson, Esq. (SBN 10536)
12	Tom W. Stewart, Esq. (SBN 14280) Attorneys for Plaintiff	Wade M. Hansard, Esq. (SBN 8104) Attorneys for Defendant
13		
14		
15	<u>O</u>	<u>PRDER</u>
15 16 17	_	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The
16	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The he reply is due 6/8/2021.
16 17	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 6/10/2 CONTINUED to 6/15/2021 at 10:00 AM via	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The
16 17 18	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The he reply is due 6/8/2021. 2021 hearing on ECF No. 22 is VACATED and
16 17 18 19	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 6/10/2 CONTINUED to 6/15/2021 at 10:00 AM via	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The he reply is due 6/8/2021. 2021 hearing on ECF No. 22 is VACATED and
16 17 18 19 20	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 6/10/2 CONTINUED to 6/15/2021 at 10:00 AM via	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The he reply is due 6/8/2021. 2021 hearing on ECF No. 22 is VACATED and a Zoom videoconference in a courtroom to be
16 17 18 19 20 21	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 6/10/2 CONTINUED to 6/15/2021 at 10:00 AM via	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The he reply is due 6/8/2021. 2021 hearing on ECF No. 22 is VACATED and a Zoom videoconference in a courtroom to be IT IS SO ORDERED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 6/10/2 CONTINUED to 6/15/2021 at 10:00 AM via	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The he reply is due 6/8/2021. 2021 hearing on ECF No. 22 is VACATED and a Zoom videoconference in a courtroom to be IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 6:51 pm, May 27, 2021 Company of the court of the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 6/10/2 CONTINUED to 6/15/2021 at 10:00 AM via	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The he reply is due 6/8/2021. 2021 hearing on ECF No. 22 is VACATED and a Zoom videoconference in a courtroom to be IT IS SO ORDERED
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 6/10/2 CONTINUED to 6/15/2021 at 10:00 AM via	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The he reply is due 6/8/2021. 2021 hearing on ECF No. 22 is VACATED and a Zoom videoconference in a courtroom to be IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 6:51 pm, May 27, 2021 BRENDA WEKSLER
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	On the basis of good cause, IT IS ORDER response to ECF No. 22 is due 6/1/2021; t IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the 6/10/2 CONTINUED to 6/15/2021 at 10:00 AM via	ED that the parties' stipulation is GRANTED. The he reply is due 6/8/2021. 2021 hearing on ECF No. 22 is VACATED and a Zoom videoconference in a courtroom to be IT IS SO ORDERED DATED: 6:51 pm, May 27, 2021 BRENDA WEKSLER